

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,960	02/10/2004	Guntor Willy Steinbach	10030972-1	1607
75	07/19/2005	EXAMINER		
	CHNOLOGIES, INC.	KINKEAD, ARNOLD M		
Legal Departme	perty Administration ent, DL429	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 7599		2817		
Loveland, CO	80537-0599	DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/7	775,960	STEINBACH ET	STEINBACH ET AL.			
		Exa	niner	Art Unit				
			ld M. Kinkead	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6-11 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 12-14</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	• •		_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/775,960

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Oath/Declaration

A substitute declaration/oath is required due to typing errors, i.e., letters dropped from applicants' typed name and

other places in the declaration/oath.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the

rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public

use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2.

Claims 1,2,6,7,8,9,10,11,15,16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Lovelace et al(US 6,133,797).

The reference by Lovelace et al discloses a system for calibrating a multi-band VCO to operate within a particular

band(band centering), see figures 1,4 and 5. In figure 5, a counter(70) is shown to generate band select signals for

selecting a frequency band of operation dependent on the output of window comparator(see figure 4)logic. The

comparator (34)compares a tuning signal input(28) to a tuning window defined by the voltage divider(see col. 5, lines

43-60) to set upper and lower voltage boundaries. This would occur when the VCO is out of lock. This window

comparator allows for the increment/decrement of counter. The counter is reset to the mid range and thus is

Application/Control Number: 10/775,960 Page 3-

Art Unit: 2817

prevented from rolling over in this respect; i.e., a saturating counter that is not allowed to go back to "0", but rather is reset to mid-point(see col. 5, last paragraph). The method steps being inherent.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1,2,6, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Groe et al(US 6,856,205).

The reference by Groe et al discloses a system for calibrating a multi-band VCO to operate within a particular band(band centering), see figures 5,6,9. In figures 5 and 9, a calibration circuit(904) is shown to generate band select signals for selecting a frequency band of operation dependent on the output of window comparator(see figure 9, 902) logic. The comparator (902) compares a tuning signal input(vctrl) to a tuning window defined by the voltage divider(see fig.12) to set upper and lower voltage boundaries(Vref1,2). This would occur when the VCO is out of lock. This window comparator allows for the multi-band tuning of the vco shown in figure 5 by way of capacitors(S1a...,S1b...). The method steps being inherent.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,960 Page 4

Art Unit: 2817

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-512-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Claims 19 and 20 are allowed. No controller suggested in prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed

to Arnold M. Kinkead whose telephone number is 571-272-1763. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri,

8:30 am -5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal

can be reached on 571-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or

Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arnold M Kinkead

Primary Examiner